Brian Gaffney, Esq. (CBN 168778) Matt McFarland, Esq. (CBN 225537) LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY 605 Market St., Suite 505 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 442-0711 Facsimile: (415) 442-0713 Attorneys for Plaintiff AS YOU SOW

ENDORSED FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court

FEB 13 2007

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY: ___ JOCELYN C. ROQUE

Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO (Unlimited Jurisdiction)

AS YOU SOW,

CASE NO. CGC-06-457548

Plaintiff,

v.

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

ECKLER INDUSTRIES LLC; ECKLER INDUSTRIES, INC.; SMART CHOICE AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC.; and DOES 1 through 100 INCLUSIVE,

Defendants.

INTRODUCTION 1.

- Plaintiff: Plaintiff As You Sow ("AYS" or "Plaintiff"), is a non-profit foundation 1.1 organized under California's Non-Profit Public Benefit Corporation Law. AYS is dedicated to. among other causes, the protection of the environment, the promotion of human health, the improvement of worker and consumer safety, environmental education and corporate accountability.
- The Action: On November 1, 2006, Plaintiff filed a complaint in the Superior Court 1.2 for the City and County of San Francisco (hereafter referred to as the "Action") charging Eckler Industries LLC; Eckler Industries, Inc.; and Smart Choice Automotive Group, Inc. (hereinafter "Eckler" or "Defendants") with having violated The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5 et. seq., by exposing individuals to Methylene Chloride (Dichloromethane) (a chemical known to the State of California

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT - CASE NO. CGC-06-457548

1

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

16

17

11

20

28

25

to cause cancer) and Toluene (a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm), without providing clear and reasonable warnings to such individuals. The alleged violations addressed in the Action were described in Plaintiff's Notice of Intent to Sue dated June 29, 2006 ("Plaintiff's Notice"), which Plaintiff had sent to the Defendants and to public enforcers as required by Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7. The alleged violations at issue in the Action relate to exposure to Methylene Chloride (Dichloromethane) and/or Toluene from Spra Strip ("Spra Strip" or "Covered Products"), which is a paint stripping product that is distributed, marketed and/or sold by Defendants and which contains Methylene Chloride (Dichloromethane) and/or Toluene at levels above the stated Proposition 65 limits.

- 1.3 Jurisdiction: For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that the San Francisco Superior Court has jurisdiction over the allegations in the Action and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Action, that venue is proper in the City and County of San Francisco, that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a resolution of all claims which were alleged in the Action, and that the Court shall retain jurisdiction to implement the Consent Judgment.
- 1.4 No Admissions: For the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation, the Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a compromise of disputed claims and none of its provisions shall be construed as an admission by any Party of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, including Proposition 65, or any other statute, regulation, or common law requirement related to exposure to Methylene Chloride (Dichloromethane) and/or Toluene or other chemicals listed under Proposition 65 from the Covered Products. By executing this Consent Judgment and agreeing to provide the relief and remedies specified herein, Defendants do not admit any violations of Proposition 65, or any other law or legal duty and specifically deny that they have committed any such violations. This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission under Proposition 65 for the Covered Products. Defendants maintain that all Covered Products distributed, marketed and/or sold by Defendants in California have at all times been in compliance with all applicable laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, or defense that Plaintiff and Defendants may have in any other or in future legal proceedings unrelated

to these proceedings. Defendants reserve all of their rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any person under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, waivers, releases, and/or duties provided for under this Consent Judgment.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 <u>Definition Of "Covered Products"</u>: For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" means Spra Strip, a paint stripping product which contains Methylene Chloride (Dichloromethane) and/or Toluene and which is distributed, marketed, and/or sold by Defendants.
- 2.2 <u>Removal From Sale In California</u>: After December 15, 2006, Defendants shall not, by themselves or through others, distribute, market, ship for sale and/or sell in the State of California any Covered Products containing Methylene Chloride (Dichloromethane) and/or Toluene.

2.3 <u>Warnings to Past California Customers</u>

Defendant will exert best faith reasonable efforts to identify all its customers in California who have already purchased the Covered Products without a warning in the three-year period prior to June 29, 2006, the date of Plaintiff's Notice. Within 60 days of the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment, Defendant will provide the following warning by mail on Defendant's letterhead to each such identified customer:

Warning: Our records indicate that you purchased the paint stripping product, Spra Strip, distributed, marketed and/or sold by Eckler Industries, Inc. Spra Strip contains Methylene Chloride (Dichloromethane) a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, and contains Toulene a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects, and other reproductive harm. Avoid inhalation and other direct contact with hands or body. Wash your hands immediately after spraying or otherwise using.

Within 120 days of the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment, Defendant will notify Plaintiff of its efforts to identify and provide warning by mail to such identified customers.

3. WAIVER AND RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

3.1 <u>Waiver And Release of Claims Against Defendants</u>: Plaintiff on its own behalf and on behalf of its members, subsidiaries, successors, and assigns and its directors, officers, agents, attorneys, representatives, and employees, and as to those matters raised in Plaintiff's Notice on behalf of the general public, hereby releases Defendants and their directors, officers, agents,

22 23

25 26

24

27 28

attorneys, representatives, employees, licensors, heirs, subsidiaries, affiliates, suppliers, customers, predecessors, successors, and assigns, and waives all claims for injunctive relief or damages, penalties, fines, sanctions, mitigation, fees (including fees of attorneys, experts, and others), costs, expenses or any other sum incurred or claimed, for the alleged failure of Defendants or their subsidiaries, affiliates, suppliers, customers, predecessors, successors, and assigns to provide clear and reasonable warning about exposure to Methylene Chloride (Dichloromethane) and/or Toluene from the sale or use of any Covered Products distributed, and/or sold by Defendants in accordance with and including, but not limited to, Proposition 65.

- 3.2 Defendants' Waiver And Release Of Plaintiff: Defendants on their own behalf and on behalf of their subsidiaries, affiliates, successors, and assigns and their directors, officers, agents. attorneys, representatives, and employees release Plaintiff and its members, directors, officers, agents, attorneys, representatives, employees, heirs, successors, and assigns from, and waives all claims for injunctive relief or damages, penalties, fines, sanctions, mitigation, fees (including fees of attorneys, experts, and others), costs, expenses, or any other sum incurred or claimed or which could have been claimed for matters related to the Action.
- 3.3 Matters Covered By This Consent Judgment/Release of Future Claims: As to the Covered Products, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between the Plaintiff, acting on behalf of itself and, as to those matters raised in Plaintiff's Notice in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) on the one hand, and Defendants on the other hand, for the alleged failure to provide clear, reasonable, and lawful warnings of exposure to Methylene Chloride (Dichloromethane) and/or Toluene used or contained in the Covered Products marketed, distributed, and/or sold by Defendants. As to Covered Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by Defendants with existing requirements of Proposition 65 to provide clear and reasonable warning about exposure to Methylene Chloride (Dichloromethane) and/or Toluene in the Covered Products distributed, marketed and/or sold by Defendants.
- Waiver Of Civil Code Section 1542: This Consent Judgment is intended as a full 3.4 settlement and compromise of all claims arising our of or relating to Plaintiffs' Notice and/or the

6

9

13 14

15

16

12

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

Action regarding Covered Products, except as set forth herein. No claim is reserved as between the Parties hereto, and each Party expressly waives any and all rights which it may have under the provisions of Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of California, which provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor.

MONETARY PAYMENTS

4.1 Within fifteen (15) days following the parties' execution of this Consent Judgment. Defendants shall pay \$6,700.00 in the form of a check made payable to "Brian Gaffney, Attorney Client Trust Account" with this amount to be used by As You Sow for grants to California non-profit organizations and by AYS Foundation Environmental Enforcement Fund. These funds shall be used to reduce exposures to toxic chemicals and to increase consumer, worker and community awareness of the health hazards posed by toxic chemicals in California. In deciding among the grantee proposals, the As You Sow Board of Directors ("Board") takes into consideration a number of important factors, including: (1) the nexus between the harm done in the underlying case(s), and the grant program work; (2) the potential for toxics reduction, prevention, remediation or education benefits to California citizens from the proposal; (3) the budget requirements of the proposed grantee and the alternate funding sources available to it for its project; and (4) the Board's assessment of the grantee's chances for success in its program work. AYS shall ensure that all funds will be disbursed and used in accordance with AYS' mission statement, articles of incorporation, and bylaws and applicable state and federal laws and regulations. This payment shall not be construed as a credit against the personal claims of absent third parties for restitution against Defendants. The check shall be delivered by overnight delivery to Brian Gaffney, LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY, 605 Market St., Suite 505, San Francisco, CA 94105. In the event this Consent Judgment becomes null and void under Paragraph 11 infra, Plaintiff shall, within fifteen days, return the payment made under this paragraph to Defendants.

Within fifteen (15) days following the parties' execution of this Consent Judgment, 4.2 Defendants shall pay \$13,400.00 in the form of a check made payable to "Brian Gaffney, Attorney Client Trust Account" as reimbursement for the investigation fees and costs, testing costs, expert

witness fees, attorneys fees, and other litigation costs and expenses. The check shall be delivered by overnight delivery to Brian Gaffney, LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY, 605 Market St., Suite 505, San Francisco, CA 94105. In the event this Consent Judgment becomes null and void under either Paragraph 11 infra, Plaintiff shall, within fifteen days, return the payment made under this paragraph to Defendants.

5. SEVERABILITY

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected thereby.

6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon the written agreement of the Parties, or pursuant to court order issued upon motion of a Party, and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by this Court.

7. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 The Parties may, by motion or order to show cause before this Court, and upon notice having been given to all Parties in accordance with Paragraph 10 below, unless waived, enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies (including reasonable attorneys' fees and costs) are provided by law.
- 7.2 The Parties may enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to paragraph 7.1 only after the complaining party has first given thirty (30) days notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of the Consent Judgment and has attempted, in an open and good faith manner, to resolve such Party's alleged failure to comply.

8. GOVERNING LAW

- 8.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of California.
- 8.2 The Parties have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form

7

11 12

10

14

13

1516

17

19 20

18

21 22

2324

25

26

27 28 by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code Section 1654.

9. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment constitutes the sole and entire agreement and understanding between the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and any prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties, except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof, whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

10. NOTICES

All notices or correspondence to be given pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and shall be personally delivered or sent by first-class, registered, certified mail, overnight courier, and/or via facsimile transmission (with presentation of facsimile transmission confirmation) addressed to the Parties as follows:

For Plaintiffs:

AS YOU SOW FOUNDATION Attn: Larry Fahn / Kara Buchner 311 California Street, Suite 510 San Francisco, CA 94104 415 391 3245 fax

With a copy to:

Brian Gaffney LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY

605 Market Street, Suite 505 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 442 - 0713 Fax

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27 28

For Defendant Eckler: Matt Jordan, Chief Financial Officer

ECKLER INDUSTRIES, INC. 5140 S. Washington Street Titusville, FL. 32780-7318 Phone: 321-385-1737 Fax: 321-383-8822

The contacts and/or addresses stated immediately above may be amended by giving notice to all Parties to this Consent Judgment.

11. COURT APPROVAL/EFFECTIVE DATE

The Court shall either approve or disapprove of this Consent Judgment in its entirety, without alteration, deletion or amendment, unless otherwise so stipulated by the Parties and their counsel. If the Court approves of this Consent Judgment, then the terms of this Consent Judgment are incorporated into the terms of the Court's Order.

Defendants agree to support the motion to approve this Consent Judgment in full, and shall take all reasonable measures to ensure that it is entered without delay. In the event that the Court fails to approve and order entry of the Consent Judgment without any change whatsoever (unless otherwise so stipulated by the Parties), this Consent Judgment shall become null and void upon the election of either Party and upon written notice to all of the Parties to the Action pursuant to the notice provisions herein.

If the Court enters this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall, within ten (10) working days after the Effective Date, electronically provide or otherwise serve a copy of it and the report required pursuant to 11 Cal. Code Regs. § 3004 to/on the California Attorney General's Office.

The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment shall be the date it is entered by the Court.

AUTHORIZATION 12.

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

COUNTERPARTS/FACSIMILE SIGNING 13.

This Consent Judgment may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same

1	document. All signatures need not appear on the same page of the document and signatures of the						
2	Parties transmitted by facsimile shall be deemed binding.						
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	AS YOU SOW FOUNDATION					
4	·						
5	Dated:	Ву:					
6		Lairy Fahn Executive Director					
7							
8	D. 1 : 12 - 10 - 0/4						
9	Dated: 12-18-06	ECKLER INDUSTRIES, INC.					
10-		By: Vatt Door					
11		Man Jordan Chief Finencial Officer					
12	In accordance with the stipulation of I	Plaintiff and Defendants					
13		ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.					
14		the terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party					
15		t Judgment, this Court retains over this matter.					
	i vidiales ine nicivisions of this Chinsch						
16	violates the provisions of this Consen	it riddginelit, bits comt tetams over this thater.					
16 17	Dated:						
17							
17 18							
17 18 19							
17 18 19 20							
17 18 19 20 21							
17 18 19 20 21 22							
17 18 19 20 21 22 23							
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24							
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25							
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26							
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT					
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT					

1	document. All signatures need not appear on the same page of the document and signatures of the						
2	Parties transmitted by facsimile shall be deemed binding.						
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	AS YOU SOW FOUNDATION					
4		21					
5	Dated: 12/18/06	By: Jarry Jahn					
6		Executive Director					
7							
8		MORE ED DIDVIGEDES DIC					
9	Dated:	ECKLER INDUSTRIES, INC.					
10		By:					
ų		Matt Jordan Chief Financial Officer					
12		and the second and a					
- 1	In accordance with the stipulation of Plaintiff and Defendants,						
13							
13 14	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, AD						
ı	The Court hereby incorporates the	c terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party					
14	The Court hereby incorporates the						
14 15	The Court hereby incorporates the violates the provisions of this Consent Jud	e terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party adgment, this Court retains over this matter.					
14 15 16	The Court hereby incorporates the violates the provisions of this Consent Jud	e terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party adgment, this Court retains over this matter.					
14 15 16 17	The Court hereby incorporates the violates the provisions of this Consent Jud	e terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party adgment, this Court retains over this matter.					
14 15 16 17	The Court hereby incorporates the violates the provisions of this Consent Jud	e terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party adgment, this Court retains over this matter.					
114 115 116 117 118	The Court hereby incorporates the violates the provisions of this Consent Jud	e terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party adgment, this Court retains over this matter.					
14 15 16 17 18 19	The Court hereby incorporates the violates the provisions of this Consent Jud	e terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party adgment, this Court retains over this matter.					
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The Court hereby incorporates the violates the provisions of this Consent Jud	e terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party adgment, this Court retains over this matter.					
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The Court hereby incorporates the violates the provisions of this Consent Jud	e terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party adgment, this Court retains over this matter.					
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The Court hereby incorporates the violates the provisions of this Consent Jud	e terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party adgment, this Court retains over this matter.					
14 1.5 16 1.7 18 19 20 21 22 23	The Court hereby incorporates the violates the provisions of this Consent Jud	e terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party adgment, this Court retains over this matter.					
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The Court hereby incorporates the violates the provisions of this Consent Jud	e terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party adgment, this Court retains over this matter.					
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	The Court hereby incorporates the violates the provisions of this Consent Jud	e terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party adgment, this Court retains over this matter.					

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT - CASE NO. CGC-06-457548

IS SO STIPUI	l by facsimile shall b	•	OU SOW FÒI	INDATION	· T	
		120 1	000001100			
ed:		Ву:	Larry Fahn Executive D	irector		
ed:		ECKL	ER INDUSTI	RIES, INC.		
		Ву:	Matt Jordan			
			Chief Financ	ial Officer		
ccordance with	the stimulation of P		Chief Financ	ial Officer		
	the stipulation of Plants	laintiff and I	Chief Financ	al Officer		
IT IS HER	REBY ORDERED,	laintiff and I	Chief Finance Defendants, D, AND DEC	REED.	this Order If	
IT IS HER	REBY ORDERED, nereby incorporates t	laintiff and I ADJUDGE the terms of t	Chief Finance Defendants, D, AND DEC The Consent Ju-	REED.		a
IT IS HER	REBY ORDERED, nereby incorporates to this Consent	laintiff and I ADJUDGE the terms of t Judgment, th	Chief Finance Defendants, D, AND DEC he Consent Junis Court retain	REED.	matter.	a
IT IS HER The Court hates the provisi	REBY ORDERED, nereby incorporates to this Consent	laintiff and I ADJUDGE the terms of t Judgment, th	Chief Finance Defendants, D, AND DEC he Consent Junis Court retain	REED. dgment into	matter.	`a
IT IS HER The Court hates the provisi	REBY ORDERED, nereby incorporates to this Consent	laintiff and I ADJUDGE the terms of t Judgment, th	Defendants, D, AND DEC The Consent Junis Court retain PATRI	REED. dgment into ns over this	matter.	_
IT IS HER The Court hates the provisi	REBY ORDERED, nereby incorporates to this Consent	laintiff and I ADJUDGE the terms of t Judgment, th	Defendants, D, AND DEC The Consent Junis Court retain PATRI	REED. dgment into ns over this	matter. HONEY	_
IT IS HER The Court hates the provisi	REBY ORDERED, nereby incorporates to this Consent	laintiff and I ADJUDGE the terms of t Judgment, th	Defendants, D, AND DEC The Consent Junis Court retain PATRI	REED. dgment into ns over this	matter. HONEY	_
IT IS HER The Court hates the provisi	REBY ORDERED, nereby incorporates to this Consent	laintiff and I ADJUDGE the terms of t Judgment, th	Defendants, D, AND DEC The Consent Junis Court retain PATRI	REED. dgment into ns over this	matter. HONEY	_

1	Brian Gaffney, Esq. (CBN 168778)						
2	Matt McFarland, Esq. (CBN 225537) LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY 605 Market St., Suite 505						
3	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 442-0711 Facsimile: (415) 442-0713						
4	Facsimile: (415) 442-0713						
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff AS YOU SOW						
6	A5 100 50 W						
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE						
8	COUNTY OF SA						
9	(Unlimited Ju						
10	AS YOU SOW,						
1	Plaintiff,						
12	V.						
13	ECKLER INDUSTRIES LLC; ECKLER						
14	INDUSTRIES, INC.; SMART CHOICE AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC.; and DOES 1						
15	through 100 INCLUSIVE,						
16	Defendants.						
17							
18							
19	;						
20							
21							
22							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28	·						

ENDORSED FILED San Francisco County Superior Court

FEB 13 2007

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY: JOCELYN C. ROQUE Deputy Clerk

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

N FRANCISCO risdiction)

CASE NO. CGC-06-457548

TROPOSED ORDER
APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND
CONSENT JUDGMENT

Date: February 13, 2007 Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept. No.: 302

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	-
	9	
l	0	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff's motion for approval of settlement and entry of Consent Judgment was heard on noticed motion on February 13, 2007. This Court finds that:

- 1. The warnings to past California Customers required by the Consent Judgment complies with the clear and reasonable warning requirement of Proposition 65;
- 2. The removal, after December 15, 2006, of the Covered Products containing Methylene Chloride (Dichloromethane) and/or Toluene from distribution, marketing, shipping for sale and/or sale in the State of California is reasonable based on the requirements of Proposition 65;
- 3. The payments in lieu of civil penalty specified in the Consent Judgment are reasonable based on the criteria in Cal Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(2); and
- 4. The attorneys fees awarded under the Consent Judgment are reasonable under California law.

 Based upon these findings, the settlement and Consent Judgment are approved.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

FEB 1 3 2007

PATRICK J. MAHONEY

Dated:

Judge of the Superior Court